

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,357	06/02/2006	Raymond Campagnolo	291448US6X PCT	4580
22850 OBLON SPIV	22850 7590 11/26/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLEI LAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. EXAMINER			INER
1940 DUKE STREET MELLON,		, DAVID C		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1777	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/581,357	CAMPAGNOLO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID C. MELLON	1777	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>15 November 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time

periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NO	TICE	OE	۸D	DE	۸ı

The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS	

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 37,39-44,51 and 53.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 48 and 50.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

/D. C. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 1777

/Tony G Soohoo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1774 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant alleges Huang does not provide for a magnetic field that attracts magnetic particles to a bottom. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The magnetic because the device ([0139]); accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the magnetic art would readily recognize that the magnetic particles would at least be directedutracted in the direction covards the bottom of the device. The claim does not require that the residue formed be actually in contact to the bottom but merely located at the bottom. The bottom is a vague/ambiguous claim term in this use. It is not clear from the claim whether material must actually touch the bottom wall to be at the bottom or not. Applicant alleges that no residue is formed. This is not convincing. Applicant is reminded that limitations are not to be imparted from the specification into the claims. Accordingly, while Huang provides separation therefrom of the individual microdiscs from each other; these microdiscs may still form a residue requiring an agglomeration of particles therein. Furthermore, the definition of residue is "something that remains after a part is taken, separated...". With respect to Colin, the reference was taught in to address the deficiency of Huang of having a pullarity of second recognized.